Impact of pharmaceutical care activities on diabetic patients at a private corporate hospital
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ABSTRACT

Diabetes is a disease that desperately needs more pharmacist involvement. Pharmaceutical care and expanded role of pharmacist are associated with many positive diabetes related outcomes, including improved clinical measures, improved patient and provider satisfaction, and improved cost management. Studies have shown that type 2 diabetes is associated with impaired QoL as diabetes increases morbidity and decreases life expectancy. It is known that diabetes and stress are directly or indirectly related, and it is important to evaluate stress in patients with diabetes. To evaluate the impact of pharmaceutical care in patients with type 2 Diabetes mellitus and to provide education and information regarding the disease and lifestyle modification. A prospective comparative study on impact of pharmaceutical care on type 2 diabetes mellitus patients was conducted in a private tertiary care teaching hospital in South India for a period of 6 months. Study was done on 120 eligible patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus enrolled randomly in the intervention group (with pharmaceutical care teachings) or the control (without drug related educations). The intervention group patients received pharmaceutical care through diabetes education, medication counseling, instructions on lifestyle that needed modifications (necessary for better drug function) and dietary regulations regarding their prescribed drugs, whereas the control group patients were deprived of any pharmaceutical care till the end of the study. Data were analyzed using SPSS software to find out the t-student test. The problem areas in diabetes (PAID) questionnaire is a reliable and valid tool which is used in our study to determine diabetes specific emotional distress among our study group. A minimum score of 0 indicated no diabetes-related distress. A maximum score of 100 indicated significant diabetes-related distress. The intervention group showed an improvement in the quality of life score from -2.156 ± 0.12 at the baseline to -1.41 ± 0.13 at the final interview (p < 0.01). The average HbA1c values decreased from 8.63 ± 2.27 % to 7.24 ± 1.39 % (p < 0.05). There was a significant decrease in the fasting blood glucose from 203.05 ± 89.05 mg/dl to 115.55 ± 39.03 mg/dl between the baseline and the final interview in the intervention group (p < 0.05). The average BMI values also decreased from 25.06 ± 3.6 % to 24.21 ± 3.3% (p<0.05). The PAID scores before the interview had a value of 49.78 ± 22.34 and after the interview with a lower score of 31.39 ± 17.12 showed significant improvement from the baseline scores (p =0.00). The PAID scores were significantly higher in patients newly diagnosed with diabetes followed by 1-5yrs and female patients. Glycated hemoglobin was directly related to the score, but the group with very high glycated hemoglobin (over 10%) had lower PAID ratings. The study concluded that pharmacist mediated patient counseling of the disease, medications and lifestyle modifications will improve the Quality of life and Glycemic control. The assessment of quality of life in patients with diabetes could help to improve patient’s wellbeing. Greater attention to treating illness by addressing its psychological side through support and coping strategies, and not just the disease, has important implications for reducing health disparities among disadvantaged groups.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic condition that can lead to serious and costly complications. Every 7 seconds a person dies from diabetes. In 2014, diabetes caused 4.9 million deaths globally.

The International Diabetes Federation estimates that 246 million adults worldwide have diabetes mellitus. The world prevalence of diabetes among adults (aged 20–79 years) was 6.4%, affecting 285 million adults, in 2010, and will increase to 7.7%, and 439 million adults by 2030. Between 2010 and 2030, there will be a 69% increase in numbers of adults with diabetes in developing countries and a 20% increase in developed countries [1].

The inexorable rise of diabetes parallels that of the obesity pandemic spreading throughout both the industrialized and developing countries. Diabetes accounts for around 6% of total global mortality, with 50% of diabetes associated deaths attributed to cardiovascular disease [2]. By 2025 India will be dubbed as the ‘DIABETIC CAPITAL OF THE WORLD’. According to recent WHO estimates presently India has 32 million diabetic subjects, and this is projected to increase to 100 million by 2035. Currently 1 in 12 people are diabetic in India. The countries with the largest number of diabetic people will be India, China and USA by 2030 [3].

Diabetes is an epidemic in India as a result of societal influence and changing lifestyles. Diabetes has been known in India for centuries as a disease of rich man, but now spreading among all masses. The studies in Indian population showed that major risk factor for high prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus are genetic disposition, insulin resistance, obesity, central obesity (greater abdominal adiposity), urbanization with change in diet habits like fast food culture and sedentary life style. Rapid urbanization and industrialization have produced advancement on the social and economic front in developing countries such as India which have resulted in dramatic lifestyle changes leading to lifestyle related diseases.

Diabetes mellitus describes a metabolic disorder of multiple aetiology characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia with disturbances of carbohydrate fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both. Hyperglycemia leads to spillage of glucose into urine, hence the term diabetes-sweet urine. DM involve not only a deficiency of insulin but also an excess of certain other hormones such as growth hormones, glucocorticoids and glucagons [4].

Though diabetes mellitus was recognized several centuries ago, it was HP HIMSWORTH who first proposed that DM could be differentiated into insulin sensitive (Juvenile onset) and insulin insensitive (Maturity onset) types in 1936. The WHO Expert committee on Diabetes made changes in 1980. This classification separates DM into 2 main categories based on insulin dependency: Insulin dependent (Type 1 DM) and Non Insulin dependent (Type 2 DM). In type 1 DM pancreas undergoes an autoimmune attack (T-cell mediated) by the body itself, and is rendered incapable of making insulin. In type 2 DM patients can still produce insulin but is insufficient – either absolutely or relative to the body need. A major feature of type 2 DM is lack of sensitivity to insulin by the cells of the body (particularly fat and muscle cells)- insulin resistance [5].

People with diabetes should receive DSME and diabetes self-management support (DSMS) according to National Standards for Diabetes Self Management Education and Support when their diabetes is diagnosed and as needed thereafter. Effective self-management and quality of life are the key outcomes of DSME and DSMS and should be measured and monitored as part of care. The overall objectives of DSME and DSMS are to support informed decision making, selfcare behaviors, problem solving, and active collaboration with the health care team to improve clinical outcomes, health status, and quality of life in a cost-effective manner. DSME and DSMS are essential elements of diabetes care and the current National Standards for Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support are based on evidence for their benefits. Education helps people with diabetes initiate effective self-management and cope with diabetes when they are first diagnosed [6].

QoL refers to the broadest range of human experience. In addition to the influence of health, it includes personal finances, job, housing, personal relationship, political and cultural climate, traffic, environmental considerations, and much more. QoL is primarily concerned with only one particular aspect of quality of life – how it may be affected by health and diseases. Health care professionals are becoming increasingly aware of the need to assess and monitor the quality of life (QoL) as an important outcome of diabetes care. QoL is an important outcome in its own
right, but also because it may influence the patient’s self-care activities, which may consequently impact their diabetes control [7].

The Problem Areas in Diabetes (PAID) survey was developed as a measure of diabetes-related stress that could be useful in measuring the association between psychological adjustment to diabetes and adherence to self-care behaviors. This 20-item survey uses a 5 point Likert-scale format to assess the degree to which diabetes management and/or feelings about diabetes are problematic to patients with options ranging from "0-not a problem" to "4-serious problem". Summing all item scores and multiplying by 1.25 resulted in an overall PAID score. A minimum score of 0 indicated no diabetes-related distress. A maximum score of 100 indicated significant diabetes-related distress. Observations may be presented as Mean ± SD and number with percentages. Continuous and categorical variables can also be analyzed for significance by ANOVA and Chi square respectively [8].

Pharmaceutical care is a professional practice recommended by the WHO and other national and international entities. It contributes to the resolution of medical conditions and can help avoid undesirable outcomes in relation to patients’ drug therapy problems DTP, resulting in benefits to patients and to society. Humanistic outcomes, also known as patients’ reported outcomes, involve the effect of treatment and pathology on the patient’s functional status, quality of life and satisfaction with the particulars of their care. Evaluation of humanistic outcomes, often little valued by professionals, is important, along with clinical outcomes, especially in chronic diseases such as Diabetes Mellitus.

Therefore, this research aimed to evaluate the role of pharmaceutical care on the quality of life in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in our medical setting (a Private Tertiary Care Hospital) in South India [9][10].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY SITE: Department of Diabetology of a 700 bedded tertiary care private corporate hospital.

STUDY DESIGN: Prospective Comparative study.

STUDY PERIOD: The Prospective Comparative study was conducted over a period of 6 months December 2014 – March 2015.

STUDY SAMPLE: 120 patients

STUDY CRITERIA:

INCLUSION CRITERIA: Newly diagnosed or patients with history of Type 2 DM, admitted in department of Diabetology, receiving at least one oral hypoglycemic agent and willing to participate in the study.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA: Type 1 Diabetic patients and patients who are not willing to participate in the study and patients with insufficient data in their records.

Sources of Data
All necessary data was collected from the following sources
1. Patient data collection form
2. Patient case history
3. Patient prescriptions
4. Laboratory data
5. Treatment profiles
6. Microbiological data
7. Patient counseling details - (Patient information leaflets, PAID questionnaire)

Consent from hospital Authority
The study was approved by the Hospital authority, by submitting a protocol of the study which includes the objectives, methodology, and the study was conducted with the expert guidance of seniors and junior physicians of the department selected.
The literatures supporting the study were gathered from various sources such as British Medical Journal, American Medical Journal, Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics, Journal of Pharmacy Practice, The Annals of pharmacotherapy, Journal of national medical association, Indian journal on medical microbiology.

**METHODOLOGY:**
A prospective Comparative study on impact of pharmaceutical care on type 2 DM patients was conducted in a 700 bedded private tertiary care corporate hospital in South India for a period of six months. The study was on a 120 eligible patients with type 2 DM enrolled randomly in the interventional group (60 patients) and the control (60 patients). The interventional group patients received pharmaceutical care through diabetes education, medication counseling, and instructions on lifestyle that needed modifications whereas the control group patients were deprived of any pharmaceutical care till the end of the study. The “PAID” questionnaire was used to assess the relevant parameters and to evaluate the impact of pharmaceutical care on the subjects. The study was divided into baseline and final interview with a difference of three months for each visit. All the baseline parameters are equally distributed in both test and control with a \( p \) value more than 0.05.

Assessments were made based on fasting blood glucose measurements recorded during the baseline and the final interview with the patients. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), a measure of the average control of blood glucose, over the three previous months is also to be assessed. Data will be subjected to statistical analysis using suitable statistical tool.

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilogram with height in meters square, patients with BMI \( \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \) were considered as obese were noted.

The Problem Areas in Diabetes Scale (PAID) is the best-validated assessment tool used to measure diabetes-related emotional distress. The PAID is a self-report questionnaire that consists of 20 statements measuring emotional distress in managing and dealing with diabetes and its complications. Representative items include feeling scared when you think about living with diabetes; feelings of deprivation regarding food and meals; feeling depressed when you think about living with diabetes; feeling overwhelmed by your diabetes; feeling burned out by the constant effort to manage your diabetes. Each item can be rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not a problem) to 6 (a serious problem)\(^{[1]}\).

Intervention group were subjected to complete PAID questionnaire. The survey were self-administered (paper and pencil) to them. Administration took place in a designated room at the hospital under the direct supervision of the pharmacist. Survey completion times ranged from 20 minutes to 40 minutes.

Patients in the intervention group received the pharmaceutical care which included medication counseling, instructions on dietary regulation, exercise and other lifestyle modifications, while the control group patients did not receive any pharmaceutical care till the end of the study.

The pharmaceutical care provided by the pharmacist was documented in the forms designed for the purpose. The patient information leaflet, diabetic diet chart (prepared in English and Tamil in discussion with the chief dietician of the study hospital) and Diabetic Diary were also provided to the intervention group in order to provide better counseling.

The paired t-student test was used for intra group analysis (baseline and final scores) and the t-student test was also used for inter-group analysis using the SPSS 14.0 for Windows software. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as the statistically significant level.

**RESULTS**

The age range of the participants in both groups was between 32 to 85 years old. The control group had an average age of 57.98 \( \pm 2.62 \) years old and the intervention group had an average age of 53.65 \( \pm 2.38 \) \( (p > 0.05) \). All the participants were type 2 diabetic taking an average of two or more oral drugs for treatment. In addition to the medications for diabetes treatment, these patients were taking an average of two other drugs for co-morbidities that mainly included hypertension and hyperlipidemia.
The average fasting blood glucose for the control group was 197 ± 89.10 mg/dl and that for the intervention group was 203.05 ± 89.05 mg/dl (Table 2). Statistical tests revealed that the values were not significantly different (p > 0.05). In the final interview it was found that the control group subjects showed fasting blood glucose levels of 134 ± 38.68 mg/dl which was significantly different from the basal values (p < 0.05). In the intervention group the levels were 115.55 ± 39.03 mg/dl and that was significantly different from the basal values (p < 0.05).

The average HbA1c values for the control group was 8.95 ± 2.47 % and that for the intervention group was 8.63 ± 2.27% (Table 2). Statistical tests revealed that the values were not significantly different (p > 0.05). In the final interview it was found that the control group subjects showed HbA1c levels of 8.00 ± 1.71 % which was significantly different from the basal values (p < 0.05). In the intervention group the levels were 7.24 ± 1.39 mg/dl and that was significantly different from the basal values (p < 0.05).

The body mass index was found to be 29.62 ± 25.87 kg/m2 and 23.89 ± 4.70 kg/m2 (Table 2) in the control and intervention groups, respectively, during the first interview (p > 0.05; not significant). In the final interview the index was found to be 25.94 ± 3.44 in the control group (p > 0.05 when compared with basal values) and 24.21 ± 3.34 in the intervention group (p < 0.05 when compared with basal values) which was significant.

The problem areas in diabetes (PAID) questionnaire is a reliable and valid tool which also is used in our study to determine diabetes specific emotional distress in our study group. After the first interview survey, we have analysed the problem areas among the study group and during the counseling session, we have given more focus on the problem areas. The PAID scores during the first interview had a value of 49.78 ± 22.34 (Table 3) and after the counseling session with a lower score of 31.39 ± 17.12 showed significant improvement from the baseline scores (p =0.00).

The PAID scores were significantly higher in patients newly diagnosed with diabetes followed by 1-5yrs and female patients ,but there was no difference based on age. Glycated hemoglobin was directly related to the score , but the group with very high glycated hemoglobin (over 10%) had lower PAID ratings (Fig. 1). It is thought that stresses about diabetes control and self-management may be lower in patients with high levels of glycated hemoglobin due to low personal interest in health (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Pharmacist is part of a multi disciplinary team. This team normally consists of pharmacist, physician, nurse, technician, nutritionist, and other health care professions. All of the members in multidisciplinary team have important roles in diabetes management in achieving the goal of treatment, improving quality of life, controlling disease and its complications, delaying complication, and decreasing mortality and morbidity. Pharmacists’ interventions are an important factor to improve glycemic control in diabetic patients. Pharmacists’ interventions include diabetes education and counseling on drug, disease, diet, exercise, life style modification, and self-management, assessment and adjustment of anti-diabetic medications, identifying and solving drug-related problems, co-operation with physician and other diabetes health care team, providing materials that reinforce patients to achieve a target goal, providing additional information on smoking cessation. All of these interventions aimed at improving glycemic control. In our study, HbA1c levels, Fasting Blood Glucose levels and Body Mass Index values significantly reduced with pharmacists’ interventions compared with usual care. The pooled mean difference in the change of HbA1c was 0.76%, FBG 19.13% and BMI 1.73%. This would help patients meeting the target of their treatment.

We have utilized the PAID questionnaire, to assess stress of type 2 diabetic patients in our intervention groups. Scores for the PAID were associated in our test group, and the PAID was shown to be an effective method to evaluate stress in diabetics. Stress stimulates the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, and causes changes in the levels of several hormones, particularly increased serum cortisol concentrations and reduced sex hormone levels, which antagonize insulin action and elevate blood glucose levels. Cortisol not only interferes with insulin action, but also plays a role in suppressing insulin secretion in pancreatic beta cells.

This study focused on the question of the most appropriate method to detect depressive disorders in diabetic patients through the use of depression-specific measures as well as the assessment of diabetes-related distress. Many of the subjects of this study experienced diabetes-related stress. Patients stress management is equally as important
as drug therapy, education and management of complications. Much of the stress can be ameliorated by support from physicians and caretakers, along with repeated education. Further studies are needed to investigate the degree to which stress can be improved by such support and education.

Table 1. Demographic and epidemiological characteristics of diabetic patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly diagnosed</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 years</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparative analysis of baseline and final values between patients in the intervention and control groups who have completed the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interview</td>
<td>interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>197 ± 89.10</td>
<td>134 ± 38.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>8.95 ± 2.47</td>
<td>8.00 ± 1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>29.62 ± 25.87</td>
<td>25.94 ± 3.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean ± SD (n=60 for each group)

Table 3. Paid Scores Before And After Intervention in study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview</th>
<th>Paid Scores (Emotional Burn Out)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>49.78 ± 22.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>31.39 ± 17.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean ± SD (n=60 for intervention group)

Table 4. Comparisons of PAID scores according to clinical characteristics in study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Paid Scores (Emotional Burn Out)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51.39 ± 16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>53.38 ± 18.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DURATION OF DIABETES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly diagnosed</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57.7 ± 16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56.2 ± 17.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 years</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48.3 ± 18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE IN YEARS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40.5 ± 16.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74.0 ± 19.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54.7 ± 11.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51.3 ± 17.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>56.0 ± 15.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7-10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55.8 ± 17.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48.8 ± 27.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAID Values are expressed as Mean ± SD (n=60 for intervention group)
CONCLUSION

Diabetes is a chronic illness that requires a combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological measures for better glycemic control. Patient adherence to medication and lifestyle modifications plays an important role in diabetes management.

Pharmacist plays a major role in management of chronic disorders by providing Pharmaceutical care service. The available evidence suggests that pharmacists’ interventions are more effective than usual care in decreasing HbA1c levels in diabetes patients. High quality of life represents the ultimate goal and an important outcome of all medical interventions in diabetic patients. The present six month study also demonstrated the positive impact of pharmaceutical care activities on various domains of quality of life and clinical and physiological parameters of diabetes mellitus. Our study concludes that pharmacist mediated individualized pharmaceutical care services have a positive impact on QoL, Glycemic control, and medication adherences which improves the therapeutic outcome of the patients. Overall outcome would be cost effectiveness in health care system and better life of the sufferer.

This study summarizes the importance of timely and appropriate screening, diagnosis and treatment of depression in people with chronic illness, and particularly with respect to diabetes. Self-assessment questionnaires can dramatically improve depression detection rates. Complementing such screening with assessments of psychological distress can have an additional and complementary impact on individual self-care.
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