

ISSN No: 2319-5886

International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences, 2016, 5, 11:496-501

# The impact of Behavior Excellence courses on communication skills of NAJA employees

Layla Nyasaty<sup>1,2</sup> and Rezvan Homaei<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.S. student, General Psychology, Department of Psychology, Khuzestan Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahwaz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Faculty Member, Department of Psychology, Ahwaz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahwaz, Iran

\*Corresponding Email: <a href="mailto:homaei@iauahvaz.ac.ir">homaei@iauahvaz.ac.ir</a>

#### **ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of treatment on, communication skills of NAJA employees. The sample were consisted of 40 NAJA personnel of the city of Abadan (experimental group) and 40 city NAJA personnel behbehan (control group), that were selected such as multi-stage random sampling in two experimental and control groups. Measurement Tool was Queen dom communication skills questionnaire (2004).to implemented in the first, Of both groups, was taken pre-test. then the experimental group were trained in 12 session for 32 hours .then was taken after 3 months from both groups post test .Analysis of data was done using multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) and a variable analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), the significant level 0.05. The results showed that excellence conduct training courses on communication skills had a significant effect.

Keywords: behavior excellence, communication skills, NAJA employees.

## INTRODUCTION

Police force organization is one of wide organizations that the bulk of its activity is relies on human power, and police manpower are called to a collection that Iran's Police force responsible for maintaining order and security and is suppliers public safety and security its mission is to prevent crime than pursuing and arresting of offenders and take action in the delivery police services to the people .Police Training Division in terms of its intrinsic duties, is the custodian of policymaking, planning and coordination of training in the police; In addition, it is responsible for: providing educational resources courses " complementary access Specialized," " short-term Specialized" and "Service training". and in recent years Is trying To achieve the organizational goals with standardization and behavior excellence of its staff, will enhance the quality service delivery to the people. This is why the police force in recent years with the development of standardization guidelines, and excellence in employee behavior has decided to is put On the configuration and definition of oral literature of officers in the form of : coordination practices and the so-called standard and so attract the general public satisfaction, and precipitate achieve the set goals to way effectively [1].purpose of employee behavior excellence training, is the increase people satisfaction of police performance through behavioral and communication professional skills training of staff, and as well as identification and employing susceptible people and provide load full training, will be Help them in line with the honesty and validity and reliability, standardization, and behavior excellence and in continue: be provided necessary Substrate for motivate and reinforce police good behavior, according to religious instructions [2].the most fundamental Spiritual capital in an organization, is the human resources and this makes for optimized taking advantage of this precious capital, managed the way application of he. If it is accepted that people are most important wealth of an organization, and if this subject is reality that employees of any organization are most determining factor in the development survival and excellence of its, so it must be said creating the best conditions and maximum organization productivity, is depends on expand the ability and training quite talent the external and internal employees. Human is the Productivity Center and all organizations that have any type of mission Should the most funds, time and plan will be Allocate to human development of different aspects.

Jamal Zadeh, Golnoosh, (1392) in his study in which: was done investigate the effectiveness of group training, Interpersonal communication skills, on self-efficacy and job burnout on the Social Security Organization Staff of Mashhad, Came to the conclusion that interpersonal communication skills training can cause reduce staff job burnout and is increased general self-efficacy [3] .Salehi, Reza. (2013), in his study, with the name impact of leadership style and communication skills of managers on employee job satisfaction of Bank Middle East came to the conclusion that the style of leadership and communication skills of managers is effective on employee job satisfaction Bank of the Middle East[4]. Zolfaghari, H, Faal, S, (2014), in his study examines the relationship between communication skills of of commanders and managers employee organizational commitment came to the conclusion that: there is a significant relationship Between the command communication skills and management and organizational commitment of employees [5]. Gibson (2014) is paid To study the everyday lives of police officers participant in compressed work shifts, and came to the conclusion that Job dissatisfaction in unhealthy subjective well-being is leads to eating different habits, stress, anxiety, negative impact on the family activities, lack of efficacy during job scheduled and shift work [6]. Yardley (2013), At reviews, the role of standard education department, as a state agency that provides training for police design a training program that will increase by Its the police communication skills. Results Research is suggests mental health care, more officers and more Effectiveness of police department[7]Spivak (2013), has been discussed to study practices of police communicate with people with mental disabilities despite the too much emphasis on calling the police, and symptoms of related with communication difficulties, Hasnt been observed high ability in communicate with this people [8].

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research is conducted to the experimental way, with the Plan: pretest -posttest with a control group. In this research in order to establish a causal relationship, were replaced. accidentally in experimental group and control group. population of the study was included all of security forces personnel in Khuzestan. Sampling method is based on a multistage random sampling. sample were placed of 40 persons from personnel of Abadan in the experimental group and 40 persons from personnel Behbahan, in the control group. after random assignment experimental and control groups, from all participants were taken a pre-test. experimental group have participated in 11 sessions of 2 hours as below.

First session: Introduction employees with training courses programs and methods of its holding and conducting pre test. second session: Islamic ethics, third session: Communication skills, Fourth Session: anger control, Fifth Session: Stress Management, sixth: protecting employees, seventh: happiness and vitality in the workplace, eighth vitality within the family, ninth and Tenth: Display the good and bad police, the eleventh session: Closing program. after three months of end of sessions, questionnaires communication skills was administered as the posttest

## **RESULTS**

### **Descriptive findings:**

Descriptive findings of this research include statistical indicators such as mean, standard deviation and the number of participants for research variables are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of scores of self-efficacy, communication skills and self-restraint, experimental and control groups in pretest and posttes

| variable   | the level        | Statistical<br>Indicators<br>group | average | Standard<br>deviation | Number |
|--|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------|
|  |                  | experiment                         | 43.58   | 3.78                  | 40     |
| self-efficacy  | pre-exam         | Witness                            | 45.95   | 3.62                  | 40     |
| sen-encacy   | After the test   | experiment                         | 57.85   | 5.27                  | 40     |
|  | After the test   | Witness                            | 44.50   | 3.67                  | 40     |
|  | nro ovem         | experiment                         | 94.15   | 6.44                  | 40     |
| Communication skills   | pre-exam         | Witness                            | 93.08   | 5.58                  | 40     |
| Communication skills   | A 64 41 44       | experiment                         | 105.33  | 4.85                  | 40     |
|  | After the test   | Witness                            | 94.30   | 5.09                  | 40     |
|  | man over         | experiment                         | 25.42   | 2.53                  | 40     |
| Communication skills in the field the skillty to receive and and massages  | pre-exam         | Witness                            | 24.28   | 2.77                  | 40     |
| Communication skills in the field the ability to receive and send messages | A ft on the test | experiment                         | 29      | 2.51                  | 40     |
|  | After the test   | Witness                            | 24.63   | 2.79                  | 40     |
|  |                  | experiment                         | 25.23   | 2.70                  | 40     |
| Communication skills in the field of emotional control                     | pre-exam         | Witness                            | 25.10   | 2.70                  | 40     |
| Communication skins in the field of emotional control                      | After the test   | experiment                         | 27.88   | 2.91                  | 40     |
|  | After the test   | Witness                            | 25.32   | 2.50                  | 40     |
| Communication skills in the field of listening                             | pre-exam         | experiment                         | 15.83   | 2.03                  | 40     |

16.45 2.06 40 Witness 17.20 1.77 40 experiment After the test Witness 16.58 2.30 40 2.21 experiment 13.67 40 pre-exam Witness 13.10 1.95 40 Communication skills in the field of insight into the communication process experiment 15.90 2.02 40 After the test Witness 13.58 1.96 40 experiment 14.00 2.14 40 pre-exam 2.47 Witness 14.15 40 Communication skills in the field of relation combined with certainty experiment 15.35 2.27 40 After the test 2.34 40 Witness 14.20 9.37 93.02 40 experiment pre-exam Witness 86.00 6.46 40 Continence 103.27 40 4.90 experiment After the test 88.07 5.12 40

#### Findings of the research hypothesis:

This research is includes the following hypotheses, that each hypothesis with the results of the analysis is presented in this section. before examine the hypothesis. For observance of default of equality of variance of the study variables, is used levine test which its results are presented in Table 2.Also results of Kolmogorof Smirnoffor default normality of the distribution of scores in the population , and results of testing default homogeneity of regression slopes for the variables is shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 2: Levine's test results on the default of equal variances of the research variables between the two groups in society

| variable   | F     | The first the degree of freedom | The second the degree of freedom | Significance level |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Communication skills                             | 1.63  | 1                               | 78                               | 0.204              |
| Component ability to receive and send messages   | 0.410 | 1                               | 78                               | 0.524              |
| Components of emotional control                  | 0.130 | 1                               | 78                               | 0.719              |
| Component Listen                                 | 0.001 | 1                               | 78                               | 0.982              |
| Component insight into the communication process | 0.955 | 1                               | 78                               | 0.331              |
| Its components combined with determination       | 1.03  | 1                               | 78                               | 0.312              |

As can be seen in Table 2, the null hypothesis was confirmed for equality of scores variances of the two groups, in there search variables .means was confirmed assumption of equality of score variances in both experimental and control groups .However, when is equal the samples size , meaningful of Levin test , will not have a significant impact on nominal alpha level.

Table 3: Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test about: Before assuming normal distribution of scores of communication skills

| variable   | Group      | Kolmogorov-Smirnov |                    |             | Group   | Kolmogorov-Smirnov |                    |             |
|--|------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|  |            | Statistics         | Degrees of freedom | Significant |         | Statistics         | Degrees of freedom | Significant |
| Communication skills                               |            | 0.115              | 40                 | 0.199       |         | 0.093              | 40                 | 0.200       |
| The ability to get components of emotional control | experiment | 0.117              | 40                 | 0.183       | Witness | 0.106              | 40                 | 0.200       |
| Components of emotional                            |            | 0.131              | 40                 | 0.083       |         | 0.134              | 40                 | 0.069       |
| control  |            |                    |                    |             |         |                    |                    |             |
| Component Listen                                   |            | 0.132              | 40                 | 0.075       |         | 0.130              | 40                 | 0.086       |
| Its vision components                              |            | 0.133              | 40                 | 0.071       |         | 0.130              | 40                 | 0.088       |
| Its components are firmly                          |            | 0.125              | 40                 | 0.117       |         | 0.109              | 40                 | 0.200       |
| Continence   |            | 0.134              | 40                 | 0.068       |         | 0.100              | 40                 | 0.200       |

As seen in Table 3, The null hypothesis is confirmed for the normal distribution of scores of both groups in the research variables. Means was confirmed default the normal distribution of scores in the pretest and in the both experimental and control groups.

Table 4: Default Results of testing homogeneity of regression slopes of research variables of the two groups in society

| variable                        | Source changes   | F     | Significant |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------------|
| Communication skills            |                  | 0.005 | 0.944       |
| The ability to get components   | Interaction      | 1.25  | 0.267       |
| Components of emotional control | Group * pre-test | 0.196 | 0.659       |
| Component Listen                |                  | 1.08  | 0.300       |
| Its vision components           |                  | 1.21  | 0.273       |
| Its components are firmly       |                  | 0.383 | 0.538       |

As seen in Table 4, F interaction is not significant for all research variables. Therefore, is confirmed the assumption homogeneity of regression .

Hypothesis: behavior excellence training has impacted on communication skills NAJA personnel.

## **Hypothesis components**

- 1: Teaching behavior excellence affect on communication skills, in the field ability to receive and send messages NAJA personnel.
- 2: Teaching behavior excellence affect on communication skills, in the field Emotional control employees NAJA personnel.
- 3: Teaching behavior excellence affect on communication skills, in the field listen NAJA personnel.
- 4: Teaching behavior excellence affect on communication skills, in the field Insight into the the connection process NAJA personnel.
- 1: Teaching behavior excellence affect on communication skills, in the field Communication combined with certainty NAJA personnel.

Table 5: results of covariance analysis one way, in the context of MANCOVA on post-test scores of communication skills with pre-test experimental and control groups.

| variable             | Source<br>changes | Sum of squares | Degrees of freedom | The mean squares | F     | Level<br>Significant<br>p | Chi<br>Eta | statistical<br>power |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| C                    | pre-exam          | 102.42         | 1                  | 102.44           | 4.35  | 0.040                     | 0.05       | 0.540                |
| Communication skills | group             | 2233.90        | 1                  | 2233.90          | 94.99 | 0.0001                    | 0.56       | 1.00                 |
| SKIIIS               | Error             | 1663.66        | 75                 | 23.51            |       |                           |            |                      |

As seen in Table 5, By controlling the pre-test, can be seen a significant difference between the NAJA employees, the experimental group and the control group in terms of communication skills (F<94.99 and F<0.0001), Therefore, the hypothesis is confirmed.

Table 6: Results of multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) on the average post-test scores of aspects of communication skills for employees, experimental and control groups, with Control pre-test

| Name<br>Test                  | amount | <b>DF</b><br>Hypothesis | <b>DF</b><br>Error | F     | Level<br>Significant(p) | Chi Eta | statistical<br>power |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| Testing the effects of Pylayy | 0.595  | 5                       | 69                 | 20.27 | 0.0001                  | 0.59    | 1.00                 |
| Wilks Lambda test             | 0.405  | 5                       | 69                 | 20.27 | 0.0001                  | 0.59    | 1.00                 |
| Hotelling trace test          | 1.46   | 5                       | 69                 | 20.27 | 0.0001                  | 0.59    | 1.00                 |
| The biggest test root         | 1.46   | 5                       | 69                 | 20.27 | 0.0001                  | 0.59    | 1.00                 |

As presented in Table 6by controlling the significant levels pre-test All tests indicate that there is a significant difference between NAJA employees experimental and control groups at least one of the dependent variables (components of communication skills) (F=20.27 and P<0.0001).

to realize the this point: that in terms of , what variables are differences between the two groups, was conducted five one-way ANOVA within the context of Manoawhich results are shown in Table 7.the amount of the effector difference is equal to 0.59, this means that 59 percent of individual differences in post test scores of communication skills components is related to the effect behavior excellence courses (group membership) .Statistical power is equal to 1.00.In other words, isnt exist the possibility of a Type II error.

As is shown in Table 7 by controlling pre-test between the experimental group and the control group is a significant difference in terms of 'communication skills in the field the ability to receive and send messages (F=48.36 and P<0.0001).therefore is confirmed first component of the hypothesis . by controlling the pre-test, between NAJA employees experimental group and the control group in terms of communication skills in the field of emotional control can be seen a significant difference (F=16.75 and P<0.0001). thus, is confirmed the component of Hypothesis 2.by controlling pre-test between NAJA employees the experimental group and the control group in terms of communication skills in the field of listening Is not observed differences significant (F=2.28 and P<0.05). thus component of hypothesis 3 cannot be confirmed. by controlling the pre-test, between NAJA employees experimental group and the control group in terms of communication skills in the field of Insight into the communication process can be seen a significant difference (F=25.20 and P<0.0001). thus, is confirmed the component of Hypothesis 4. by controlling pre-test between NAJA employees the experimental group and the control group in terms of communication skills in the field of listening Is not observed differences significant (F=2.80 and P>0.05). thus component of hypothesis 5 cannot be confirmed.

Degrees Source Sum of The mean Level statistical variable of F Chi Eta changes squares Significant p squares power freedom 19.84 19.84 0.086 0.404 The ability pre-exam 3.02 0.04 to receive group 318.67 1 318.67 48.63 0.0001 0.40 1.00 476.30 73 and send Error 6.55 22.21 22.21 2.95 0.090 0.397 pre-exam 1 0.04 Control 125.76 0.981 group 125.76 16.75 0.0001 0.18 emotions 73 7.50 547.95 Error 8.43 1 8.43 1.93 0.169 0.02 0.278 pre-exam 9.96 9.96 2.28 0.135 0.03 0.319 listen 1 group Error 319.06 73 4.37 5.98 1 5.98 1.48 0.228 0.02 0.225 Insight pre-exam 0.0001 101.88 1 101.88 25.20 0.25 0.999 into group message 295.15 73 4.04

Table 7: Analysis of covariance one-way in the context MANCOVA, on post-test mean score of police staff communication skills components of the experimental and control groups, With the control of pre-test

## **Lateral Findings**

Its boldly

Error

group

Error

pre-exam

0.381

14.86

387.28

1

73

In this section are referred to some of the adverse findings. In order to determine the effects of age and the results was used of analysis of covariance. In this analysis, if the control variable is to change the outcome comparing two groups, reflects the effect of the control variables otherwise, the control variables have no effect on results and the difference is solely due to the testing. In other words: if in comparing two groups using analysis of covariance was no significant difference but with analysis of variance and landing control variables, differences between the two groups is significant. Indicating that the control variables have influenced the results.

0.381

14.86

5.30

0.381

2.80

0.072

0.098

0.01

0.04

0.058

0.379

Table 8: analysis results covariance to compare the posttest scores, communication skills employees, experimental and control groups, with individual control features

| variable                                   | Degrees of freedom | Degrees of freedom | The mean squares | F     | Level<br>Significant p |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Control (pre-test)                         | 75.16              | 1                  | 75.63            | 3.14  | 0.080                  |
| Control (Age)                              | 67.19              | 1                  | 67.19            | 2.79  | 0.099                  |
| Control (work experience)                  | 56.15              | 1                  | 56.15            | 2.33  | 0.131                  |
| Control (education)                        | 4.04               | 1                  | 4.04             | 0.168 | 0.683                  |
| Control (marital status)                   | 33.74              | 1                  | 33.74            | 1.40  | 0.240                  |
| Independent (the "experimental and control | 1083.27            | 1                  | 1083.27          | 45.03 | 0.0001                 |
| Error                                      | 1756.05            | 73                 | 24.05            |       |                        |

As can be seen in Table 8 by controlling individual characteristics between NAJA employees experimental group and control group, there are significant differences in terms of communication skills and this shows that age, work experience, education level and marital status has no effect in the difference in groups communication skills.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Hypothesis: behavior excellence training, have impacted on communication skills of NAJA employees. by controlling the pretest between NAJA employees experimental group and control group, can be seen a significant difference in terms of communication skills. As well as in the field of components of communication skills (receiving and sending messages, control of emotions, listening, insight into process of communicating, connecting with decisiveness), there is a significant difference in three components: Sending messages, controlling perceptions and process of communicating, and difference is not significant in the other two components, which includes listening and communicating with certainty so cannot be confirmed the components of the hypothesis 3 and 5 .In explaining this hypothesis can be said that holding training courses of behavior excellence, according to the average of NAJA experimental group employees communication skills compared to the control group mean, has been cause increased communication skills experimental group. In other words, 56 percent of individual differences in posttest scores of communication skills is the impact Holding training courses of behavior excellence and holding These training courses makes that People become familiar with the appropriate ways to create these skills in their and they can strengthen it on your own. This result is consistent with the results of the research Yardley (2011) [7], Salehi, (2013) [4], Kazemi (2012) [1], Baseri (2009) [9], Spivak (2013) [8], Jamal Zadeh (2013) [3], Ashrafi rize, Amraei, Papi, Bahrami and Samuoi (2001) [10].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kazemi, H. (2012). disciplinary regulations, and its impact onStandardizationandbehavior excellenceof NAJA employees, Knowledge of Elam, the first year, No. 4, Winter 2012.
- [2] Elyasi, M., Baseri, A. (2009). excellence of character and conduct of the police, printer Police Training Division.
- [3] Jamal Zadeh, Golnoosh .(1392). examined the effectiveness of interpersonal communication skills training on self-efficacy and job burnout of Social Security Organization Staff of Mashhad, Master Thesis, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad.
- [4] Salehi, R .(2013). the impact of leadership style and managers communication skills on employee job satisfaction of Bank Middle East, master's thesis, Islamic Azad University of Tehran.
- [5] Zolfaghari, H, Faal, S . (1393). Review between: Communication skills and commitment of commanders and managers (Case Study head of NAJA). Journal of military Management Studies .
- [6] Gibson Cedrick, DBA, Nor the entral university .2014. 164,3,7,274 about pQDt open. The Daily Life of policeof ficers on a comppssod work schedule of three 12-hour snifts; ANarrative in quire.
- [7] Yardley Amy M.MA Gonzaga unhver sity .2013. community policing and communication; An organization on specific approach for police managers .subjects:communication organizational behavior.
- [8] SPivak,B.L;Thomas.S.D.M.2013.Intellectual disability:TheIndependent Third Perspective.JournaLof Intellectuall Disability Research ,V: 57, N:7, P: 635-646 JUL2013.
- [9] Baseri, A .(2009). the effectiveness of teaching promotion and empowerment of the police, military knowledge Specialized Quarterly of the capital police, Issue 6, Volume II autumn2009.
- [10] Ashrafi Rizi, H, Amraei, M, Papi, A., Bahrami, S., Samuie, R. (2012). components of communication skills and their relationship with demographic characteristics and of the librarians at the University of Isfahan and Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Journal of System and information services, Issue 1, winter 2012.