Special Issue: Nursing and Healthcare: Current Scenario and Future Development



ISSN No: 2319-5886

International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences, 2016, 5, 7S:166-170

The incidence rate of epigastric pain after laryngeal mask anesthesia in cataract surgery: A descriptive-sectional study

Reza Sahraei^{1,2}, Fatemeh Eftekhareian^{1,2*}, Navid Kalani^{1,2} and Hossein Kargar Jahromi³

¹Medical Ethic Research Center, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran. ²Department of Anaesthesiology, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran. ³Research center for non.Communicable Diseases, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran

Corresponding Email: sahraeir@sums.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

Epigastric pain is a condition in which the increase of airway pressure to deal with partial or complete obstruction of airway during mechanical ventilation of lungs occurs or caused by the entrance of too much air to the stomach. This ventilation condition occurs with all methods of airway. In cases where laryngeal mask has not suitable placement can cause partial obstruction of airway and causes increased pressure of airway and the air entrance to the stomach. This research is a gradual randomized study was performed on 600 patients referred for cataract surgery. Data collection included questionnaire containing demographic information and questions about the epigastric pain. The data were analyzed by Chi-square, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Mann-Witheny tests and SPSS software. There is a significant relationship between epigastric disease history and epigastric pain in recovery, and between heartburn and the diameter of laryngeal mask (p-value < 0.05). There is a significant relationship between epigastric disease history and epigastric disease history and epigastric pain in recovery and history of heartburn.

Keywords: laryngeal mask, cataract, epigastria.

INTRODUCTION

Cataract is existence of any type of opacity in the lens. The most common cause of cataracts is age. Cross-sectional studies have found the prevalence of cataracts 50% at the age of 65-74 years which increases up to 70% at the ages over 75 years. 90% of the all cataracts are age dependent. It has been identified in several studies that p\the prevalence of cataract in women is more than men and most of the patients are older than 65 years. Sudden moves or attempts to cough at the time of openness of the eye can lead to protrusion of eye contents and permanent damage. For this reason, when the general anesthesia is chosen for cataract surgery, it is necessary to maintain sufficient depth of anesthesia. The biggest responsibility of the anesthesiologist is to provide sufficient ventilation for the patient and the most critical factor in this field is to maintain the airway. The laryngeal mask airway (LMA) is a non-invasive alternative approach is appropriate to replace endotracheal intubation and is an acceptable method in short-term procedures and difficult intubations which due to the no need for laryngoscopy doesn't have the adverse consequences related to it. Several ways have been described for the placement of laryngeal mask and reduce its

complications. One of these methods is the classic method and classic method with a little perk. At the standard placement method, usually its cuff is empty and the rate of success at the first attempt is 67-90%. A little perk of laryngeal cuff has a useful role in its passage from posterior arch and lead to easier placement and more success. The high cuff inflation could cause the further tighten the LMA, reduce compliance on the larynx, loss of protection of airway, pressure on the veins and nerve harm and related to the material can absorb anesthetic gases and cause increased pressure to the mucous. LMA could cause coughing, pressure and spasm of the larynx in patients not having deep anesthesia and active reflexes.

Laryngeal mask could increase the possibility of laryngeal spasm because of the increased airway secretions at the end of surgery and for this purpose and reducing the vagal reflex (VAGUE), nausea and vomiting after surgery, prescribing the anticholinergic drugs is useful. Laryngeal complications during anesthesia can cause sore throat, nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain, which studies have been conducted in this regard. On average 8.1% of patients complain of mild sore throat after surgery. In a study, the incidence of nausea and vomiting in common laryngeal mask has been shown 20% and 8.3% respectively. Also in the of abdominal pain complication, 7 patients (11.7%) of the common laryngeal mask group there has been complaints of abdominal pain. When the placement of mask because of the mask tip kink is not correct or there is a obstruction in airway in the epiglottis region because of the curvature, the drainage cuff should be pulled and be placed again. In cases where the LMA is not placed properly, can cause partial obstruction of the airway and increase airway pressure and the air intake to the stomach. Establishment of hemodynamic stability with LMA shows that it can be used easily in people with cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Embedded laryngeal mask during the induction of anesthesia could prevent the sharp rise in blood pressure and tachycardia during the induction of anesthesia. Therefore, a simple way to protect the airways that makes effective breathing and oxygenation is using the LMA. Given that the abdominal pain is a rare complication of laryngeal masks and few studies have been cited about it before and moreover, the incidence of epigastric pain that can be seen in the Jahrom hospitals shows higher prevalence; The studying the incidence of epigastric pain after laryngeal mask anesthesia after surgery seems necessary.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was gradual random sampling. On patients undergoing cataract surgery with laryngeal mask anesthesia. This study was performed after approving the research plane, permission from vice president of research and after coordination with operation room staff and the head of the Motahari hospital. The questionnaire used in this study included demographic data (age, sex, weight) and questions about medical history in the epigastric region, a history of heartburn, diameter of laryngeal mask, duration of the use of masks, air volume delivered to the patient and pain in epigastric area. Method of the study was that we examined the patients undergoing eye surgery (cataract) which laryngeal mask was used for their anesthesia after recovery and asked them about the presence of epigastric pain (yes or no). Before the patient was asked about history of heartburn and stomach pain not to confuse the abdominal pain because of history of stomach problems with abdominal pain caused by the use of laryngeal mask, during the examination after consciousness of the patient.

RESULTS

The participants in the survey were 54.2% male and 45.8% female. The mean and SD of the age of participants was 60.93 ± 12 in the polls. Minimum age was 21 and maximum was 87 years. The mean and SD of the weight of the participants in the survey was 66.41 ± 8.85 . Minimum and maximum weight was 50 and 97 kg respectively. Of the subjects, 22.5% had a history of disease in epigastric region, 29.5% had a history of heartburn and 34.7% had pain in epigastric region (Table 1). 5% had a diameter of laryngeal mask 3, 61.7% and 0.8% had a diameter of 4 and 0.8% had a diameter of laryngeal mask, 5. Duration of mask usage was 27.78 ± 12.60 min and the air volume delivered to the patient was 25.40 ± 15.19 cc.

Table1. The prevalence of history of disease in the epigastric region, heartburn and epigastric pain during recovery

	Y	es	NO		
	Count	%	Count	%	
history of disease in the epigastric region	135	22.5%	465	77.5%	
history of heartburn	177	29.5%	423	70.5%	
epigastric pain during recovery	208	34.7%	392	65.3%	

According to Chi-square test there is a significant relationship between medical history in epigasric region and pain in epigasric region during the recovery and between heartburn and the diameter of laryngeal mask (p-value < 0.05, Table 2).

Table2. Comparison of relationship between medical history in epigasric region and the diameter of laryngeal mask, heartburn and pain in epigasric region during the recovery

			medical history in	epigasric region	Chi Cayana	p-value
			Yes	NO	Chi-Square	
the diameter of laryngeal mask	3	Count	80	145		0.00
		%	35.6%	64.4%		
	4	Count	50	320	56.351	
		%	13.5%	86.5%	30.331	
	5	Count	5	0		
		%	100.0%	0.0%		
Heartburn history	Yes	Count	112	65		0.00
		%	63.3%	36.7%	239.402	
	No	Count	23	400	239.402	
		%	5.4%	94.6%		
pain in epigasric region during the recovery	Yes	Count	83	125		0.00
		%	39.9%	60.1%	55.301	
	No	Count	52	340	33.301	
		%	13.3%	86.7%		

According to K-S test the data of duration of mask usage and the volume of delivered air to the patient, age and weight are not normal(p-value < 0.05, Table 3).

Table 3. The study of normalization of data using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

	age	weight	Duration of mask usage	Volume of the delivered air
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z	3.319	2.794	4.157	6.842
p-value	.000	.000	.000	.000

According to non-parametric mann-Whiney test, there is a significant relationship between medical history in epigastric region and duration of the usage of the mask, volume of the air delivered to the patient, age and weight of the patient (p-value < 0.05, Table 4).

Table 4. Comparison of relationship between medical history in epigastric region and duration of the usage of the mask, volume of the air delivered to the patient, age and weight of the patient

	medical history in epigastric region	N	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	Mann- Whitney U	p- value
duration of the usage of	Yes	135	23.17	11.32	241.76	32637.50	23457.50	0.00
the mask	NO	465	29.13	12.65	317.55	147662.5		
duration of the usage of	Yes	135	28.53	28.59	334.29	45129.00	26826.0	0.00
the mask	NO	465	24.50	7.65	290.69	135171.0		
Age	Yes	135	56.75	14.16	246.69	33303.50	24123.5	0.00
	NO	465	62.15	11.02	316.12	146996.0		
Waisht	Yes	135	66.41	8.85	274.66	37079.50	27899.50	0.046
Weight	NO	465	1.77	.41	308.00	143220.5	21099.30	0.040

DISCUSSION

In this study, 22.5% of the subjects had medial history in epigastric region, 29.5% had history of heartburn and 37.5% had pain in epigastric region. Results showed that there is a significant relationship between medial history in epigastric region and epigastric pain in the recovery and history of heartburn. Also there is a significant relationship between medial history in epigastric region and duration of the usge of masks, air volume delivered to the patient, age and weight. Desirable anesthesia is associated with increased patient satisfaction with hospital services, particularly surgical procedures. Therefore, trying to reduce the common patient complaints from anesthesia is a useful step in that direction. Airway management of patients is an important part of anesthesia care. In this regard, the different equipment is used that the most common type for cataract surgery is laryngeal mask which has its own

complications. Epigastric pain is a condition in which the increase of airway pressure to deal with partial or complete obstruction of airway during mechanical ventilation of lungs occurs or caused by the entrance of too much air to the stomach. The results of this study showed that related to the incidence of pain in epigaster region after anesthesia with laryngeal mask in cataract surgery, 34.7% had pain in epigastric region, 5% had a diameter of laryngeal mask 3, 61.7% and 0.8% had a diameter of 4 and 0.8% had a diameter of laryngeal mask, 5 which these results don't agree with the results of the study of timmermann and Achmet conducted about complication of abdominal pain in orthipedic surgery and the LMA and common laryngeal mask but it agrees with the study of Hajiesmeili et al. Hajiesmaeili et al in their study by comparing the performance of the Supreme LMA laryngeal masks with the common laryngeal mask used in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery reported that 2 patients (3.2%) out of Supreme LMA and 7 (11.7%) out of common laryngeal masks had abdominal pain complaints during recovery. He expressed that although the pain was diagnosed as a complaint of patients after surgery, but there was no significant difference between supreme and common laryngeal mask group. In a study, Jahanbakhsh et al (2008) in khatamolanbia hospital of Mashhad, 100 patients undergoing cataract surgery were divided randomly into two groups. Demographic characteristics were similar in both groups. In one group after induction of anesthesia, the anesthesia maintained using Propofol (80 to 100 micrograms per kg per minute) and the other using remifentanil (0.20 to 0.25 µg per minute). The incidence of abdominal pain and its quality were studied in two recovery groups. Information and characteristics of the patients were recorded in questionnaire before and after surgery. In the end, they concluded that in the remifentanil group, 20 patients (40%) complained about severe abdominal pain in recovery, in the propofol group only 3 patients (6%) complained about a dull pain around the abdomen and two groups didn't show significant difference (p < 0.001). In a study conducted by Schraag in 2009 on the 51 patients that had been delivered alfentanil after cardiac surgery and 30 patients had been delivered remifentanil after orthopedic surgery, there were no significant differences in the incidence of post-operative pain.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that there is a significant difference between medical history in epigastric region and epigastric pain in recovery and between heartburn history and the diameter of laryngeal mask. According to the obtained results from this study and the partially high incidence of epigastric pain it the following research topics about this field are proposed:

- 1- Comparison of the effect of fentanyl, alfentanil, remifentanil and sufentanil in the incidence of epigastric pain after cataract surgery
- 2 Effect of Naloxone on improving the pain in the epigastric region after cataract surgery in patients receiving short time -acting drug
- 3. The evaluation of agitation effect in recovery after cataract surgery
- 4. Comparison of laryngeal masks and endotracheal intubation in the incidence of epigastric pain after cataract surgery.

REFERENCES

- [1] Crick, R. Pitts, and Peng T. Khaw. A textbook of clinical ophthalmology: a practical guide to disorders of the eyes and their management. World Scientific 2010;8:100-105
- [2] Agarwal, Sunita, et al. Textbook of ophthalmology. Jaypee Brothers Publishers 2002;10:250-265
- [3] West Sk ,Valmadrid C, Epimiology of risk factors for age related cataract Dana center for preventive ophthalmology , Wilmer eye intitute , johns Hopkins university . surv ophtalmo 1995; 39 (4) : 323-334 .
- $\label{eq:control} \begin{tabular}{ll} [4] Sreenivas V \ , Prabhakar \ AK \ , Badrinath \ Ss \ , Fernandez \ T \ , Roy \ Is \ , Shamrma \ T \ , et al \ . \ A \ rural population based case control study of senile cataract in India . J Epimdemol 1999 ; 9 (5) : 327-336 \ . \end{tabular}$
- [5] Stolying Rk , Miller RD . Basics of anesthesia , 4th ed . Churchill living stone , pilladelphia 2000 , PP (5) , 160-161 .
- [6] Miller RD. Text book of anesthesia, 5th ed. Churchill living stone, New York, 2000: 1414-1448.
- [7] Pinosky Mark, Charlestone Sc. Laryngeal mask Airway: uses in Anesthesiology. Anaesth 1996; 84: 700-75
- [8] Banomof Janathan L. Laryngeal mask airway and the ASA difficult airway algorithm. Anesthesiology 1996; 84:686-699
- [9] Garcia_ Aguado R , Vinoles J , Brimacombe J , Vivo M , Lopez Estudillo R , Ayala G . Suction catheter guided insertion of the proseal laryngeal mask airway is superior to the digital technique . Can J anaesth . 2006 Apr ; 53(4): 398-403 .

[10] Brimacombe J , Keller C , Judd DV . Gum elastic boeugi - guided insertion of the proseal laryngeal mask airway is superior to the digital and the introducer tool technique Anesthesiology . $2004 \, \text{Jan}$; 100(1) : 25-9 .

- [11] Nakayama $\,S\,$, Osaka $\,Y\,$, Yamashita $\,M\,$. The rotational technique $\,$. with a partially inflated $\,$ laryngeal mask airway improve the ease of insertion in children . Paediater Anaesth $\,$. 2002 Jun $\,$; 12(5) $\,$: 416-9 $\,$.
- [12] Hsu Yw, Pan Mt, Huang Cj, Cheng CR, Wu Kh, Wei TT, Chen CT, et al. Comparison of the Cuffed oropharyngeal airway and laryngeal mask airway in spontaneous method of insertion. J clin Anesth. 1995 Mar; 7(2):132-5.
- [13] Nagais S , inagaki Y , Harada T , watanable T , Hirosawa J , Ishibe Y . A modified technique for insertion of the laryngeal mask airway in children . Masui . 2000 Dec ; 49(1): 1376-70 .
- [14] Wakeling HG , Buter PJ , Baxter PJ . the laryngeal mask airway . a comparison between two insertion techniques . anesth Analg . 1997 sep ; 85 (3) : 687 90 .
- [15] Lopez Gill $\,M$, Brimacombe $\,J$, Alvarez $\,M$. Safety and efficacy of the laryngeal mask airway . Anesthesia 1996 ; 51 : 969 972 .
- [16] Matta B , Maesh DS , Nevin M . laryngeal mask airway . A more successful method of insertion . J Clin Anesth . 1995 Mar ; 7(2):132-5 .
- [17] Levitan Rw , Kinkle WC . initial anatomic investigations of the $\,I-gel$ airway : supraglottic airway without inflatable cuff . Anesthesia ,60 , 2005 (1) ; 1022-1026 .
- [18] Pinosky Mark , Charlestone Sc . Laryngeal mask Airway : uses in Anesthesiology . Anaesth 1996 ; 84 : 700-75 [19] Miller RD, miller s Anesthesia. 6th . Philadelphia : Churchill livingstone . 2005 ; PP; 1625-28 , 1637, 115,141.
- [20] Bertram G, Kat Zung . [Basic and clinical pharmacology] translated loy fatullahi A, Khodaei M. 10th . Tehran: Arjmand and nasle farad publisher . 2007; PP: 145-159, 424, 1311 .
- [21] Timmermann A , Cremer S, Eich C , Kazmaier S, Brauer A, Graf BM. Prospective clinical and fiber optic evaluation of the supreme laryngeal mask airway. Anesthesiology 2009; 110(2): 262-265.
- [22] Lopez AM, Valero R, Brimacombe J. Insertion and use of the LAM Supreme in the prone position. An esthesia 2010; 65(2): 154-157.
- [23] Esmaeali M, Moshtaghion H, Behdad SH, Dehghani MH. Compare the performance laryngeal mask Svpryvm common in patients with laryngeal mask orthopedic surgery. Journal of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. 2010;3(6):95-100
- [24] Lim Y , Goel S , Brimacombe JR . The proseal laryngeal mask airway isan effective alternative to laryngoscope-guided tracheal intubation for gynecological . An eaesth intensive care 2007; 35(1): 52-56.
- [25] Fassoulaki A, Paraskeva A, Karabinis G, Melemeni A. Ventilatory adequacy and respiratory mechanics with laryngeal mask versus tracheal intubation during positive pressure ventilation. Acta Anaesthesiol Bleg 1999; 50:113-117.
- [26] Uerpairojkit K, Eharulaxananan S, Weraatganon T, poomseetong Th. Profile soft-seal Cuff for general Anesthesia under Ambulatory Gynecologic. J Meal Assoc thai 2009; 92(9): 1184 1190.
- [27] Piper SN, Triem JG, Rohm KD, Malech WH, Schollhom TA, Boldt J. ProSeal-Laryngeal mask versus endotracheal intubation in patients undergoing gynaecologic Laparoscopy. Anesthesiol Intensive Notfallmed Schmerzher 2004;39(3):132-137.
- [28] S, Abdollahi S. Comparison of classic laryngeal mask airway and endotracheal tube with diagnostic laparoscopy in women. Journal of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. 2010; 33(6): 56-61.
- [29] Ferson DZ, Chi L, Zambare S, Brown D. The effectiveness of the LMA SupremeTM in patients with normal and diffi cult-to-manage air ways. Anesthesiology 107: 592.
- [30] Timmermann A, Cremer S, Eich C, Kazmaier S,Bräuer A, Graf BM. Prospective clinical and fiberoptic evaluation of the Supreme laryngeal mask airway. Anesthesiology 2009;110(2):262-265.
- [31] Seet E, Rajeev S, Firoz T, Yousaf F, Wong J, Wong DT, Chung F. Safety and efficacy of laryngeal mask
- [32] airway Supreme versus laryngeal mask airway ProSeal: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2010;27(7):602-607.
- [33] Taghadomi R, Jahan bakhsh S, Hosseinian E. The effect of remifentanil in the development of abdominal pain after cataract surgery. Medical Journal of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. 2008; 51(3):183 186
- [34] Schraag S, Checketts MR, Kenny GN. Lack of rapid development of opioid tolerance during alfentanil and remifentanil infusions for postoperative pain. Anesth Analg 2009; 89: 753–7