



The Quality of Life in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis in Baghdad, 2017: A Cross-Sectional Study

Wijdan Akram Hussein*

Assistant Professor, Al-Kindy College of Medicine, Baghdad, Iraq

*Corresponding e-mail: dr.wijdanakram2002@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic, multisystem autoimmune and inflammatory disease, which can result in significant functional disability and depressive symptoms. These changes may have a negative influence on the performance of daily living and work activities, with consequent impact on the quality of life. **Aims of study:** To assess quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and finding out the association between sociodemographic variables with physical and mental components of quality of life domains. **Methodology:** This was a descriptive cross-sectional study carried out in rheumatology consultation clinic at Baghdad Teaching Hospital-Medical City during a period from February 01, 2017 to April 01, 2017 on convenient sample of 156 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. **Results:** The role functioning/physical domain had the highest mean score for quality of life (53.78). Pain domains mean score was the lowest (44.57). There was a significant association between mean quality of life domains and each of educational level, blood pressure state, marital status, monthly income, duration of treatment, source of treatment, and type of treatment. There was a significant association between residence ownership and social functioning domain. **Conclusion:** The quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis patients was high regarding role limitations due to physical problems, role limitation/emotional and the quality of life was affected by educational level, blood pressure, age group, marital status, residence, monthly income, duration of disease, type of treatment.

Keywords: Quality of life, Rheumatoid arthritis, Baghdad

INTRODUCTION

Health is a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not just the absence of disease and infirmity [1].

Ever since this definition given by the World Health Organization in 1948 there has been a major emphasis on the impact of diseases on the quality of life (QoL) of patients. Like the various disease activity measures, an equal number of measures have been developed to measure the QoL of patients suffering from various diseases.

According to the WHO, QoL is defined as “the individuals ‘perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns.” [2].

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, multisystem autoimmune and inflammatory disease, which can result in significant functional disability [3,4] and depressive symptoms [5,6]. These changes may have a negative influence on the performance of daily living and work activities, with consequent impact on the quality of life (QoL) [7].

Although the disease occurs in both genders, whether it is expressed differently in women and men has been scarcely studied; moreover, the published studies have been primarily focused on the biological aspects of the disease including immunological characteristics, inflammatory markers and/or radiographic damage. It affects all age groups, but is more prevalent among 40-60-year people [8,9].

It has a prevalence ranging between 0.5% and 1% with an annual incidence of 3 per 10,000 adults. The prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis is between 0.5% to 1% in European and North American populations, Asia had the lower rate of disease (0.2-0.3). Some Native American populations had a remarkably high prevalence more than (5%) [10].

The prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in the Sultanate of Oman, adjusted for the population structure, was 8.4 per thousand adults [11].

In Iraq, the incidence for rheumatoid arthritis was done during the period 2001-2011 in persons aged 16 and over, definite Rheumatoid arthritis was observed in 3.02% in 2011 in Babylon province [12].

Studies evaluating the impact of RA on QoL showed that these patients have significantly lower levels of QoL when compared with the general population [13,14], and lower functional capacity scores when compared to other chronic diseases [15,16]. Other studies have also shown that changes in QoL can be seen even in the earliest stages of the disease progression [17].

Among the factors that could directly affect the reduction of QoL in patients with RA, depression deserves special attention [5,18]. Sharpe, et al. [5] demonstrated a close relationship between depression and the early stages of disability in patients with RA, and also that these patients became more depressed with the evolution of the disease. Costa, et al. [19] and Mella, et al. [20] found that the prevalence of depressive symptoms in patients with RA is of 33.7% and 53.2%, respectively.

The literature also indicates that depression is more common in RA patients than in healthy individuals [21]. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) refers to the impact of disease and treatment on the individual's welfare. Patients diagnosed with RA have significant decreases in HRQoL, resulting from pain, impaired physical function and fatigue [22]. Generic measures, such as the SF-36 questionnaire, have been frequently used in clinical trials of RA to assess HRQoL [23].

Current treatment for RA includes, such as methotrexate (MTX) and newly developed biological consisting of mainly anti-TNF therapies, including etanercept (Enbrel), infliximab (Remicade), and adalimumab (Humira).

Anti-TNF therapies have demonstrated efficacy in MTX failure, however, a proportion of patients does not benefit from these treatments either due to inadequate response or adverse reactions [24,25].

Along with improvements in signs and symptoms, QoL benefits have become increasingly important in optimizing treatment outcomes in RA. Measurements of QoL have previously been under-used in all areas of medicine and only recently have clinical trials included them as a measure of treatment effectiveness. The existence of a positive relationship between improvements in signs and symptoms and concomitant improvements in QoL provides additional evidence that QoL measures are useful benchmarks for evaluating the effectiveness of treatment for RA [26].

Aims of study

- To assess quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).
- To find out the association between sociodemographic variables with Physical, and mental Components of QoL.
- To compare the QoL domains regarding different types of treatment.

Patients and Methods

Study design and duration of data collection

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study with analytic elements, Data collection was carried out during a period of time extended from working hours.

Setting

The study was conducted in Baghdad, capital city of Iraq in rheumatology consultation clinic at Baghdad Teaching Hospital-Medical City, in Al-Rusafa district.

Study population and sampling procedure**Inclusion criteria**

The sample included rheumatoid arthritis patients (diagnosed by authorized rheumatologist) attending the rheumatology clinic seeking for regular treatment and follow up. The questionnaire was distributed to those patients who met the inclusion criteria and 152 questionnaires were recollected from those patients.

Exclusion criteria

Rheumatoid arthritis being less than one year.

Tool of data collection

Data was collected by self-administered questionnaire consisting of two parts:

Part I

This part of the questionnaire designed by the researchers and approved by the supervisor and panel of experts in Family and Community Medicine department in Al-Kindy college of Medicine.

Demographic information include age, education, occupation, marital status. Socio-economic status include residence, monthly income (<500000 considered poor, 500000-1000000 considered fair, >1000000 considered as good).

Information regarding commodities (at least one chronic disease), type of treatment (tablet, injections, or both), duration of treatment (less than 5, 5-10 and more than 10 years) and source of treatment (free from the hospital, from market or both).

Part II

Assessment of quality of life was conducted with the use of general questionnaire of quality of life: Short Form 36 (SF-36). It is one of the most common tools for determining quality of life of various groups of patients and general population. The questionnaire consists of 36 items which are used to analyse two dimensions of quality of life:

- Physical Component Summary (PCS) and
- Mental (Mental Component Summary (MCS).

Quality of life in physical dimension (Physical Component Summary, PCS) consists of four sub scales: physical functioning (PF), role limitations due to physical problems (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health perception (GH). Quality of life in mental dimension (Mental Component Summary, MCS) also contains four sub scales: vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role limitation due to emotional problems (RE), assessment of one's own mental health (MH). The scale contains scoring 0-100 in each category, the number of points, the worse quality of life (27).

Statistical analysis

Microsoft excel 2003, SPSS. Version 22 were used for statistical analysis. Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation tables were used for displaying descriptive statistics. Scoring of different domains of HRQOL was done according to Scoring the RAND 36-Item Health Survey which is of two-step process.

First, precoded numeric values are recoded per the scoring key given in Table 1. Note that all items are scored so that a high score defines a more favourable health state. In addition, each item is scored on a 0 to 100 range so that the lowest and highest possible scores are 0 and 100, respectively. Scores represent the percentage of total possible score achieved.

Table 1 Recoding items

Item numbers	Change original response category*	To recoded value of
1, 2, 20, 22, 34, 36	1 →	100
	2 →	75
	3 →	50
	4 →	25
	5 →	0
3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	1 →	0
	2 →	50
	3 →	100
13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	1 →	0
	2 →	100
21, 23, 26, 27, 30	1 →	100
	2 →	80
	3 →	60
	4 →	40
	5 →	20
	6 →	0
24, 25, 28, 29, 31	1 →	0
	2 →	20
	3 →	40
	4 →	60
	5 →	80
	6 →	100
32, 33, 35	1 →	0
	2 →	25
	3 →	50
	4 →	75
	5 →	100

In step 2, items in the same scale are averaged together to create the 8 scale scores. Table 2 lists the items averaged together to create each scale. Hence, scale scores represent the average for all items in the scale that the respondent answered.

Table 2 Averaging items to form scales

Scale	Number of items	After recoding as per Table 1, average the following items
Physical functioning	10	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Role limitations due to physical health	4	13, 14, 15, 16
Role limitations due to emotional problems	3	17, 18, 19
Energy/fatigue	4	23, 27, 29, 31
Emotional well-being	5	24, 25, 26, 28, 30
Social functioning	2	20, 32
Pain	2	21, 22
General health	5	1, 33, 34, 35, 36

Shapiro-Wilk test shows that the data in all domains were not normally distributed so non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney u test and Kruskal-Wallis H Test) were used to find out differences in central tendency measures among related variables, $P < 0.05$ was considered as significant.

RESULTS

The total study sample collected from Rheumatoid arthritis patients attending the rheumatology clinic seeking for regular treatment and follow up. Table 3 describes the demographic characters of the sample recruited in the study. It shows that 47.4% of the sample were less than 50 years old, 82% were female. About 72.37% of studied sample were

married. Regarding education 55.9% were primary level of education. About 78.3% were employed, 51% of studied sample had monthly income between 500000-1000000 ID). For residence ownership, 71.1% had Personal property.

Table 3 Distribution of studied sample according to socio-demographic characteristics

Variables	No.	%	
Age group	<50 year	72	47.4
	≥50 year	80	52.6
Gender	Male	26	17.1
	Female	126	82.9
Marital state	Single	21	13.82
	Married	110	72.37
	Widowed	11	7.24
	Divorced	10	6.58
Education	Primary	85	55.9
	Secondary	42	27.6
	University	25	16.4
Job	Employee	119	78.3
	Not employee	33	21.7
Income	Poor (<500000)	39	25.8
	Fair (500000-1000000)	77	51
	Good (>1000000)	36	23.2
Residence ownership	Rented	44	28.9
	Personal property	108	71.1
Duration of disease	<5 years	51	33.6
	05-10	52	34.2
	>10 years	49	32.2
Source of treatment	Hospital	30	19.7
	Market	37	24.3
	Both	85	55.9
Type of treatment	Traditional	34	22.4
	Biological	32	21.1
	Both	86	56.6

Around 34.2% had disease duration between 5-10 years, 33.6% less than 5 years, and 32.2% more than 10 years.

Regarding source of treatment, 55.9% they got the treatment from both hospital and market. About 56.6% of rheumatoid arthritis patients use both traditional and biological treatment, while 22.4% use traditional and 21.1% used biological only.

Table 4 illustrates that the calculated domains scores. Role functioning/physical domain had the highest mean score for QoL 53.78 with SD of 45.923 and median of 75. General health perception and bodily pain domains were the lowest score with median of 45 for both.

Table 4 Calculated QoL domain scores

Variables	No.	Mean	SD	Median
Physical Component Summary, PCS				
Physical functioning	152	48.26	29.874	50
Role limitations due to physical problems (RP)	152	53.78	45.923	75
Bodily pain (BP)	152	44.57	23.984	45
General health perception (GH)	152	45.86	17.556	45
Mental Component Summary				
Role limitation/emotional	152	51.32	47.433	66.67
Energy/fatigue	152	51.78	25.904	50
Assessment of one's own mental health (MH)	152	50.66	26.332	56
Social functioning	152	53.04	26.759	50

Table 5 shows that there is a statistical significant association between physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems (RP), bodily pain regarding PCS. And between role limitation/emotional and social functioning domains in MCS and type of treatment.

Table 5 Association between type of treatment and QoL domain

Physical Component Summary, PCS		Traditional		Biological		Both		p-value
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
Physical functioning	> Median	21	28.38	21	28.38	32	43.24	0.005
	≤ Median	13	16.67	11	14.1	54	69.23	
Role limitations due to physical problems (RP)	> Median	23	34.85	14	21.21	29	43.94	0.003
	≤ Median	11	12.79	18	20.93	57	66.28	
Bodily pain (BP)	> Median	19	28.36	18	26.87	30	44.78	0.033
	≤ Median	15	17.65	14	16.47	56	65.88	
General health perception (GH)	> Median	19	26.76	16	22.54	36	50.7	0.35
	≤ Median	15	18.52	16	19.75	50	61.73	
Mental Component Summary								
Role limitation/emotional	> Median	24	35.29	14	20.59	30	44.12	0.002
	≤ Median	10	11.9	18	21.43	56	66.67	
Energy/fatigue	> Median	16	24.24	9	13.64	41	62.12	0.145
	≤ Median	18	20.93	23	26.74	45	52.33	
Assessment of one's own mental health (MH)	> Median	15	21.43	10	14.29	45	64.29	0.12
	≤ Median	19	23.17	22	26.83	41	50	
Social functioning	> Median	22	30.99	18	25.35	31	43.66	0.009
	≤ Median	12	14.81	14	17.28	55	67.9	

In Table 6, there is a statistical significant association between mean QoL domains and educational level. Regarding

pairwise comparisons between primary and secondary levels, there is a statistical significant association in all domains, while between primary and university level of education in all QoL domains, there is a statistical significant association except for general health domain. While there is no statistical significant association between secondary and university level of education in all QoL domains.

Table 6 The mean QoL domain rank by educational level of studied sample

Scale	Ranks			K-W test Sig	Pairwise comparisons sig.		
	Education	N	Mean Rank		1&2	1&3	2&3
Physical functioning	Primary	85	63.76	<0.001	0.001	0.001	0.467
	Secondary	42	89.96				
	University	25	97.18				
Role limitation due to physical problems	Primary	85	67.39	0.007	0.015	0.018	0.681
	Secondary	42	85.71				
	University	25	92				
Role limitation/emotional	Primary	85	67.85	<0.001	0.002	0.002	0.608
	Secondary	42	86.14				
	University	25	89.7				
Energy/fatigue	Primary	85	62.89	<0.001	0.019	0.008	0.588
	Secondary	42	89.19				
	University	25	101.46				
Assessment of one's own mental health	Primary	85	64.54	0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.409
	Secondary	42	89.65				
	University	25	95.06				
Social functioning	Primary	85	64.51	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.555
	Secondary	42	88.7				
	University	25	96.78				
Bodily Pain	Primary	85	65.57	0.001	0.02	0.001	0.378
	Secondary	42	85.62				
	University	25	98.34				
General health perception	Primary	85	66.97	0.006	0.002	0.139	0.244
	Secondary	42	93				
	University	25	81.18				

In Table 7 there is no statistical significant association between gender and mean QoL domains.

Table 7 The mean QoL domain rank by gender of studied sample

Scale	Ranks				P-value
	Gender	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	
Physical functioning	Male	26	76.17	1980.5	0.967
	Female	126	76.57	9647.5	
Role limitation due to physical problems	Male	26	82.73	2151	0.384
	Female	126	75.21	9477	
Role limitation/emotional	Male	26	90.83	2361.5	0.068
	Female	126	73.54	9266.5	
Energy/fatigue	Male	26	81	2106	0.537
	Female	126	75.57	9522	
Assessment of one's own mental health	Male	26	86.13	2239.5	0.219
	Female	126	74.51	9388.5	
Social functioning	Male	26	68.63	1784.5	0.313
	Female	126	78.12	9843.5	
Bodily Pain	Male	26	75.37	1959.5	0.885
	Female	126	76.73	9668.5	
General health perception	Male	26	79.98	2079.5	0.667
	Female	126	75.78	9548.5	

Table 8 shows there is a statistical significant association between mean QoL domain (physical functioning (P=0.039), role functioning/physical (P=0.039), energy/fatigue (P=0.037) and age group.

Table 8 The mean QoL domain rank by age group of studied samples

Scale	Ranks				P-value
	Age group	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	
Physical functioning	<50years	72	84.27	6067.5	0.039
	≥50years	80	69.51	5560.5	
Role limitation due to physical problems	<50years	72	83.56	6016	0.039
	≥50years	80	70.15	5612	
Role limitation/emotional	<50years	72	83.84	6036.5	0.05
	≥50years	80	69.89	5591.5	
Energy/fatigue	<50years	72	83.78	6032.5	0.037
	≥50years	80	69.94	5595.5	
Assessment of one's own mental health	<50years	72	82.38	5931	0.118
	≥50years	80	71.21	5697	
Social functioning	<50years	72	73.08	5262	0.36
	≥50years	80	79.58	6366	
Pain	<50years	72	81.19	5845.5	0.21
	≥50years	80	72.28	5782.5	
General health	<50years	72	82.18	5917	0.13
	≥50years	80	71.39	5711	

Table 9 shows there is a statistical significant association between mean QoL domains, physical functioning (P=0.014), role functioning/physical (P=0.001), role functioning/emotional (P=0.003), energy/fatigue, emotional well-being (P=0.012), and pain (P=0.007) and marital status (P=0.036).

Table 9 The mean QoL Domain rank by marital status of studied sample

Scale	Marital state	N	Mean Rank	P-value	1&2	1&3	1&4	2&3	2&4	3&4
Physical functioning	Single	21	85.76	0.014	0.686	0.001	0.019	0.021	0.043	0.972
	Married	110	80.19							
	Divorced	11	46.27							
	Widowed	10	49.75							
Role limitation due to physical problems	Single	21	94.95	<0.001	0.051	0.003	0.007	0.022	0.038	0.918
	Married	110	79.03							
	Divorced	11	45.86							
	Widowed	10	43.6							
Role limitation/emotional	Single	21	94.5	0.003	0.005	<0.001	0.25	0.023	0.86	0.078
	Married	110	78.18							
	Divorced	11	48.95							
	Widowed	10	50.5							
Energy/fatigue	Single	21	103.02	0.012	0.051	0.03	0.002	0.01	0.008	1
	Married	110	73.37							
	Divorced	11	54.41							
	Widowed	10	79.5							
Assessment of one's own mental health	Single	21	99.74	0.007	0.002	0.001	0.233	0.187	0.689	0.23
	Married	110	75.21							
	Divorced	11	43.59							
	Widowed	10	78.05							
Social functioning	Single	21	70.24	0.518	0.341	0.938	0.852	0.279	0.358	0.719
	Married	110	79.71							
	Divorced	11	65							
	Widowed	10	66.95							
Bodily Pain	Single	21	89.55	0.036	0.233	0.067	0.01	0.171	0.027	0.372
	Married	110	78.45							
	Divorced	11	59.73							
	Widowed	10	46.05							
General health perception	Single	21	81.33	0.66	0.515	0.289	0.787	0.248	0.898	0.478
	Married	110	77.17							
	Divorced	11	61.5							
	Widowed	10	75.5							

Table 10 shows there was a statistical significant association between residence ownership and social functioning domain where $P=0.017$.

Table 10 The mean QoL Domain rank by residence of studied sample

Scale	Ranks				P-value
	Residence ownership	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	
Physical functioning	rented	44	68.86	3030	0.171
	Personal property	108	79.61	8598	
Role limitation due to physical problems	rented	44	77.56	3412.5	0.839
	Personal property	108	76.07	8215.5	
Role limitation/emotional	rented	44	77.86	3426	0.789
	Personal property	108	75.94	8202	
Energy/fatigue	rented	44	81.41	3582	0.379
	Personal property	108	74.5	8046	
Assessment of one's own mental health	rented	44	85.58	3765.5	0.104
	Personal property	108	72.8	7862.5	
Social functioning	rented	44	63.28	2784.5	0.017
	Personal property	108	81.88	8843.5	
Pain	rented	44	66.15	2910.5	0.063
	Personal property	108	80.72	8717.5	
General health perception	rented	44	75.51	3322.5	0.859
	Personal property	108	76.9	8305.5	

Table 11 shows a statistical significant association between monthly income and mean QoL domains rank (physical functioning, role functioning/physical role functioning/emotional, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, social functioning, pain domains).

Table 11 The mean QoL domain rank by income of studied sample

Scale	Ranks			K-W test Sig	Pairwise comparisons sig.		
	Income	N	Mean Rank		1&2	1&3	2&3
Physical functioning	low	39	38.83	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.412
	fair	77	87.49				
	good	36	93.79				
Role limitation due to physical problems	low	39	45.96	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.644
	fair	77	86.12				
	good	36	89				
Role limitation/emotional	low	39	48.88	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.621
	fair	77	85.14				
	good	36	87.94				
Energy/fatigue	low	39	67.79	<0.001	0.944	<0.001	<0.001
	fair	77	69.07				
	good	36	101.82				
Assessment of one's own mental health	low	39	71.92	0.001	0.555	<0.001	<0.001
	fair	77	67.3				
	good	36	101.14				
Social functioning	low	39	50.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.409
	fair	77	83.82				
	good	36	89.44				
Bodily Pain	low	39	41.73	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.527
	fair	77	86.95				
	good	36	91.81				
General health perception	low	39	80.55	0.213	0.232	0.628	0.111
	fair	77	70.51				
	good	36	84.92				

Regarding pairwise comparisons, there is a statistical significant association between patients with low income and those with fair income for (physical functioning, role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional, social functioning, pain).

While there is a statistical significant association between patients with low and good income for all domains except for general health domain where $P < 0.001$ for all.

There is a statistical significant association between patients with fair income and those with good income for (energy/fatigue, emotional well-being) where $P < 0.001$ for both.

Table 12 shows a statistical significant association between duration of disease and mean QoL domains rank (physical functioning, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, general health domains).

Table 12 The mean QoL domain rank by duration of disease of studied sample

Ranks				K-W test	Pairwise comparisons sig.		
Scale	disease duration	N	Mean Rank	Sig	1&2	1&3	2&3
Physical functioning	<5 year	51	82.45	0.038	0.958	0.041	0.017
	5-9 year	52	83.09				
	≥ 10 year	49	63.32				
Role limitation due to physical problems	<5 year	51	78.63	0.074	0.519	0.138	0.023
	5-9 year	52	84.26				
	≥ 10 year	49	66.05				
Role limitation/emotional	<5 year	51	80.89	0.092	0.919	0.07	0.048
	5-9 year	52	81.88				
	≥ 10 year	49	66.21				
Energy/fatigue	<5 year	51	93.36	0.002	0.01	0.001	276
	5-9 year	52	72.11				
	≥ 10 year	49	63.61				
Assessment of one's own mental health	<5 year	51	90.96	0.016	0.009	0.018	0.849
	5-9 year	52	69.44				
	≥ 10 year	49	68.94				
Social functioning	<5 year	51	83.65	0.138	0.521	0.062	0.146
	5-9 year	52	78.71				
	≥ 10 year	49	66.71				
Bodily Pain	<5 year	51	82.84	0.11	0.958	0.021	0.004
	5-9 year	52	84.84				
	≥ 10 year	49	61.05				
General health perception	<5 year	51	90.88	0.012	0.028	0.005	0.378
	5-9 year	52	72.6				
	≥ 10 year	49	65.67				

Regarding Pairwise comparisons, there is a statistical significant association between patients had the disease <5 year and those for 5-9 year for (energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, general health).

While there is a statistical significant association between patients had the disease for <5 year and those for ≥ 10 year for (energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, pain, general health).

There is a statistical significant association between patients took their treatment for 5-9 year and those for ≥ 10 year for (physical functioning, role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional, social functioning, pain).

Table 13 shows a statistical significant association between source of treatment and mean QoL domains rank (physical functioning, role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional, pain).

Table 13 The mean QoL domain rank by treatment source of studied sample

Scale	Ranks			K-W test Sig	Pairwise comparisons sig.		
	Treatment source	N	Mean Rank		1&2	1&3	2&3
Physical functioning	Hospital	30	83.18	0.004	0.25	0.063	0.002
	Private	37	94.16				
	Both	85	66.45				
Role limitation due to physical problems	Hospital	30	75.73	0.001	0.021	0.326	<0.001
	Private	37	97.12				
	Both	85	67.79				
Role limitation/emotional	Hospital	30	73.33	0.007	0.035	0.715	0.002
	Private	37	94.34				
	Both	85	69.85				
Energy/fatigue	Hospital	30	61.37	0.107	0.094	0.04	0.782
	Private	37	81.42				
	Both	85	79.7				
Assessment of one's own mental health	Hospital	30	61.42	0.088	0.216	0.024	0.536
	Private	37	76.15				
	Both	85	81.98				
Social functioning	Hospital	30	84.25	0.05	0.535	0.071	0.039
	Private	37	87.72				
	Both	85	68.88				
Bodily Pain	Hospital	30	83.7	0.007	0.287	0.054	0.004
	Private	37	92.66				
	Both	85	66.92				
General health perception	Hospital	30	76.58	0.131	0.196	0.504	0.053
	Private	37	88.62				
	Both	85	71.19				

Regarding pairwise comparisons, there is a statistical significant association between patients got their treatment from hospital only and those from private source for (role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional).

While there is a statistical significant association between patients got their treatment from hospital only and those from both hospital and private for (energy/fatigue, emotional well-being) where $P=0.040$, $P=0.024$ respectively.

There is a statistical significant association between patients got their treatment from private source only and those from both hospital and private for (physical functioning, role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional, social functioning, pain).

DISCUSSION

Assessment of quality of life depends not only on treatment methods but also on influence of series of factor's, i.e., socio-demographic difference, system of values, expectations, needs, attitudes and methods of valuing a disease situation and adaptation process of a patient to a new, changing situation [27,28]. Therefore, holistic approach to chronically ill patients is important while taking into account all spheres involved in health maintenance; according to WHO health is bio-psycho-social well-being, not only lack of disease or ailments" [29].

Birrell, et al. studied 86 RA patients attending specialist clinics and found that impairment of health status was moderate to mark by the SF-36, with significant differences from population norms and chronic disease states such as low back pain [30].

In a study on 26 Egyptian early RA patients from Sohag, the QoL was impaired also using the SF-36 measure [31]. Another study in Egypt, there was an impairment of all the 8 domains of the SF-36 was found in the RA patients, where the score of each domain was less than 50% of its maximum score.

In the current study, the calculated domains score the QoL in general was fair regarding all domains, but the role functioning/physical domain had the highest median score for QoL while Pain and general health domain score were the lowest regarding other domains, and in general, physical component summery was more affected than mental component.

There is a great deal of information suggesting that arthritis has a devastating effect on HRQoL [32]. One large survey makes this point clear where data from 32,322 adults in 11 US states indicated that adults with arthritis report significantly greater HRQoL impairment compared to those without [33].

These findings were consistent with some studies; where disease activity has been shown to be correlated with both physical and psychological domains of HRQoL [34,35]. Nevertheless, other studies have demonstrated that disease related variables are strong determinants of physical disability, but not necessarily the mental health [36]. It is worth mentioning that in all of these studies, disease activity has a stronger correlation with physical health than with mental health.

In this study, there is a statistical significant association between mean QoL domain and educational level, this result agrees with a study done by Leiden University Medical Center 2001 British Society [37-39].

According to the present study, there is no statistical significant association between mean QoL domain and gender. While in a cross-sectional study performed in Hospital Sierrallana, a teaching University Hospital in Northern Spain where they found that Female RA patients have lower QoL levels than their male counterparts [40].

According to the present study, there is a statistical significant association between mean QoL domain regarding physical functioning, role limitation regarding physical problem, energy/fatigue and age group, but there is no association with the Mental Component Summery.

From 31 studies were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis a higher mean age was associated with reduced physical functioning, and overall PCS, which is unsurprising given that physical function declines with age [41]. More noteworthy was the positive association between mean age and the mental health domain: a higher mean age was associated with improved levels of mental health. This finding, although contradicting a previous literature review that concluded that increased age reduced HRQoL in RA patients aged over 75 years [42].

According to the present study, there is statistical significant association between mean QoL mean domain and marital status, this result agrees with a study done in Iran [43].

Regarding this study, there is statistical significant association between mean QoL domain and residence, this result agrees with a study done in America [44].

According to the present study, there is statistical significant association between mean QoL domain and monthly income, this result agrees with a study done American [45,46].

Regarding disease duration, there is statistical significant association between mean QoL domain and disease duration, this result agrees with a study done in Egypt in which the disease duration was the most influencing factor on both the physical and mental function [47].

In this study there is a statistical significant association between physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems (RP), bodily pain regarding PCS. And between role limitation/emotional and social functioning domains in MCS and type of treatment, while in a study in Egypt they found that, patients treated with biological drugs show bigger satisfaction from treatment and fewer unfavourable symptoms resulting from the used therapy [47].

In this study, there is a statistical significant association between source of treatment whether from hospital, private, or from both and mean QoL domains rank (physical functioning, role functioning/physical, role functioning/emotional, pain).

The high cost of biological treatment compared with non-biological is a factor in the increasing health costs associated

with the treatment of RA. Interest in incorporating QoL parameters in formulary and public health decision making concerning the use of new agents for RA is increasing [48].

CONCLUSION

This study confirms that RA has a significant effect on the health-related quality of life of patients. The quality of life in RA patients was high regarding role limitations due to physical problems (RP), Role limitation/emotional but it was poor in bodily pain and general health perception. Quality of life affected by (educational level, blood pressure, age group, marital status, residence, monthly income, duration of disease, type of treatment) but not affected by gender.

Recommendations

- Increase the number of specialized centers for rheumatology, and improve the quality of services for RA in order to decrease the responsibilities and financial burden on the patient.
- Routine assessment of the HRQoL in those patients is recommended to detect and monitor the impact of the disease and its medications on different aspects this can be achieved through adequate health education about the nature of the disease and how to cope with it.
- More research is needed to identify the prevalence of disease in Iraq.
- Studies are needed to examine how these quality of life measures, change over time and respond to different management interventions.

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgement

We thank to Dr. Ahmed Abed Marzook for his efforts in statistical analysis.

Funding

The financial budget of research included mainly incentives for health assistants and some study participants were funded by researcher.

Conflict of interest

The author has disclosed no potential conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization. "Basic Documents." 39th ed. *World Health Organization*, 1992, <http://apps.who.int/gb/bd/index.html>.
- [2] WHOQoL Group. "The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQoL): position paper from the World Health Organization." *Social Science & Medicine* Vol. 41, No. 10, 1995, pp. 1403-09.
- [3] Sangha, O. "Epidemiology of rheumatic diseases." *Rheumatology* Vol. 39. Suppl_2, 2000, pp. 3-12.
- [4] Plasqui, Guy. "The role of physical activity in rheumatoid arthritis." *Physiology & Behavior* Vol. 94, No. 2, 2008, pp. 270-75.
- [5] Sharpe, Louise, Tom Sensky, and Simon Allard. "The course of depression in recent onset rheumatoid arthritis: the predictive role of disability, illness perceptions, pain and coping." *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* Vol. 51, No. 6, 2001, pp. 713-19.
- [6] Kojima, Masayo, et al. "Psychosocial factors, disease status, and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis." *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* Vol. 67, No. 5, 2009, pp. 425-31.
- [7] Chorus, A.M.J., et al. "Quality of life and work in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis of working age." *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* Vol. 62, No. 12, 2003, pp. 1178-84.
- [8] Mota, Licia Maria Henrique da, et al. "Consenso da Sociedade Brasileira de Reumatologia 2011 para o diagnóstico e avaliação inicial da artrite reumatoide." *Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia* 2011.
- [9] Mota, Licia Maria Henrique da, et al. "Consenso 2012 da Sociedade Brasileira de Reumatologia para o tratamento da artrite reumatoide." *Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia* Vol. 52, No. 2, 2012, pp. 152-74.

- [10] Jean-Marc Waldenburger and Gary S. Firestein. "Epidemiology, Pathology, and Pathogenesis." Klippel, John H., John H. Stone, and Patience H. White. *Primer on the Rheumatic Diseases*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008, pp. 122-24.
- [11] Pountain, Gillian. "The prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in the Sultanate of Oman." *Rheumatology* Vol. 30, No. 1, 1991, pp. 24-28.
- [12] Alkazzaz, A. "Incidence of Rheumatoid Arthritis [2001 to 2011]." *The Iraqi Postgraduate Medical Journal* Vol. 12, No. 4, 2013.
- [13] West, Elisabet, and Solveig Wällberg Jonsson. "Health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis in Northern Sweden: a comparison between patients with early RA, patients with medium-term disease and controls, using SF-36." *Clinical Rheumatology* Vol. 24, No. 2, 2005, pp. 117-22.
- [14] Haroon, Nigil, et al. "Impact of rheumatoid arthritis on quality of life." *Modern Rheumatology* Vol. 17, No. 4, 2007, pp. 290-95.
- [15] Salaffi, Fausto, et al. "The health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis: a comparison with a selected sample of healthy people." *Health and quality of life outcomes* Vol. 7, No. 1, 2009, p. 25.
- [16] Ovayolu, Nimet, Ozlem Ovayolu, and Gülendem Karadag. "Health-related quality of life in ankylosing spondylitis, fibromyalgia syndrome, and rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with a selected sample of healthy individuals." *Clinical Rheumatology* Vol. 30, No. 5, 2011, pp. 655-64.
- [17] Mota, Licia Maria Henrique da, Ieda Maria Magalhães Laurindo, and Leopoldo Luiz dos SANTOS NETO. "Avaliação prospectiva da qualidade de vida em uma coorte de pacientes com artrite reumatoide inicial." *Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia* Vol. 50, No. 3, 2010, pp. 249-61.
- [18] Ho, Roger, et al. "Clinical and psychosocial factors associated with depression and anxiety in Singaporean patients with rheumatoid arthritis." *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases* Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 37-47.
- [19] Da Costa, Antonio Filpi Coimbra, et al. "Depressão, ansiedade e atividade de doença na artrite reumatóide." *Revista Brasileira De Reumatologia* Vol. 48, Vol. 1, 2008, pp. 7-11.
- [20] Mella, Lucas Francisco Botequio, Manoel Barros Bértolo, and Paulo Dalgalarrodo. "Depressive symptoms in rheumatoid arthritis." *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria* Vol. 32, No. 3, 2010, pp. 257-63.
- [21] Dickens, Chris, et al. "Depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis." *Psychosomatic Medicine* Vol. 64, No. 1, 2002, pp. 52-60.
- [22] Mili, Fatima, Charles G. Helmick, and David G. Moriarty. "Health related quality of life among adults reporting arthritis: analysis of data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, US, 1996-99." *The Journal of Rheumatology* Vol. 30, No. 1, 2003, pp. 160-66.
- [23] Marra, Carlo A., et al. "A comparison of generic, indirect utility measures (the HUI2, HUI3, SF-6D, and the EQ-5D) and disease-specific instruments (the RAQoL and the HAQ) in rheumatoid arthritis." *Social Science & Medicine* Vol. 60, No. 7, 2005, pp. 1571-82.
- [24] Criscione, Lisa G., and E. William St Clair. "Tumor necrosis factor- α antagonists for the treatment of rheumatic diseases." *Current Opinion in Rheumatology* Vol. 14, No. 3, 2002, pp. 204-11.
- [25] Weinblatt, Michael E., et al. "A trial of etanercept, a recombinant tumor necrosis factor receptor: Fc fusion protein, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving methotrexate." *New England Journal of Medicine* Vol. 340, No. 4, 1999, pp. 253-59.
- [26] NICE Guidance. "Adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis." *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, 22 Oct. 2007, <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/TA130>.
- [27] Ware Jr, J.E., et al. "SF-36 Health Survey Manual and Interpretation Guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center Hospitals." 1993.
- [28] Kosinski, Mark, et al. "Health-related quality of life in early rheumatoid arthritis: impact of disease and treatment response." *American Journal of Managed Care* Vol. 8, No. 3, 2002, pp. 231-42.
- [29] Ogińska-Bulik, N., and I. Langer. "Type D personality, coping with stress and intensity of PTSD symptoms in firefighters." *Medycyna pracy* Vol. 58, No. 4, 2007, pp. 307-16.

- [30] Birrell, F.N., et al. "How does the short form 36 health questionnaire (SF-36) in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) relate to RA outcome measures and SF-36 population values? A cross-sectional study." *Clinical Rheumatology* Vol. 19, No. 3, 2000, pp. 195-99.
- [31] Mohammed Abu Al-Fadl, Esam, et al. "Assessment of health-related quality of life, anxiety and depression in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis." *The Egyptian Rheumatologist* Vol. 36, 2014.
- [32] Matcham, Faith, et al. "The impact of rheumatoid arthritis on quality-of-life assessed using the SF-36: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* Vol. 44. No. 2, 2014.
- [33] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). "Health-related quality of life among adults with arthritis-behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 11 states, 1996-1998." *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* Vol. 49, No. 17, 2000, p. 366.
- [34] Suurmeijer, Th PBM, et al. "Quality of life profiles in the first years of rheumatoid arthritis: results from the EURIDISS longitudinal study." *Arthritis Care & Research* Vol. 45, No. 2, 2001, pp. 111-21.
- [35] Alishiri, Gholam Hossein, et al. "Health-related quality of life and disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis." *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences* Vol. 16, No. 7, 2011, p. 897.
- [36] Chikanza, I.C., et al. "The clinical, serologic and radiologic features of rheumatoid arthritis in ethnic black Zimbabwean and British Caucasian patients." *The Journal of Rheumatology* Vol. 21, No. 11, 1994, pp. 2011-15.
- [37] Hunt, Sonja M., and Stephen P. McKenna. "The QLDS: a scale for the measurement of quality of life in depression." *Health Policy* Vol. 22, No. 3, 1992, pp. 307-19.
- [38] De Jong, Z., et al. "The reliability and construct validity of the RAQoL: a rheumatoid arthritis-specific quality of life instrument." *British Journal of Rheumatology* Vol. 36, No. 8, 1997, pp. 878-83.
- [39] Wells, G., et al. "Sensitivity to change of generic quality of life instruments in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: preliminary findings in the generic health OMERACT study. OMERACT/ILAR Task Force on Generic Quality of Life. Life Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. International League of Associations for Rheumatology." *The Journal of Rheumatology* Vol. 26, No. 1, 1999, pp. 217-21.
- [40] Aurrecochea, E., J. Llorcadiaz, and M. Diezlizuain. "Impact of gender in the quality of life of patients with rheumatoid arthritis." *Journal of Arthritis* Vol. 4, 2015, p. 160.
- [41] Hillsdon, Melvyn M., et al. "Prospective study of physical activity and physical function in early old age." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* Vol. 28, No. 3, 2005, pp. 245-50.
- [42] Jakobsson, U.L.F., and Ingalill Rahm Hallberg. "Pain and quality of life among older people with rheumatoid arthritis and/or osteoarthritis: a literature review." *Journal of Clinical Nursing* Vol. 11, No. 4, 2002, pp. 430-43.
- [43] Monjamed, Z., et al. "Quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis patients." *Journal of Hayat* Vol. 13, No. 3, 2007, pp. 57-66.
- [44] Kavati, A., and H. Rappaport. "Impact of Rheumatoid Arthritis (Ra) on Quality of Life (QoL) in a Nationally Representative Population in the United States." *Value in Health* Vol. 16, No. 3, 2013, p. A227.
- [45] Diener, Ed, Jeff Horwitz, and Robert A. Emmons. "Happiness of the very wealthy." *Social Indicators Research* Vol. 16, No. 3, 1985, pp. 263-74.
- [46] Easterlin, Richard A. "Does economic growth improve the human lot? Some empirical evidence." *Nations and Households in Economic Growth* Vol. 89, 1974, pp. 89-125.
- [47] Gamal, Rania M., et al. "Quality of life assessment in Egyptian rheumatoid arthritis patients: Relation to clinical features and disease activity." *The Egyptian Rheumatologist* Vol. 38, No. 2, 2016, pp. 65-70.
- [48] Russell, Anthony S. "Quality-of-life assessment in rheumatoid arthritis." *Pharmacoeconomics* Vol. 26, No. 10, 2008, pp. 831-46.